

Reinforcing Community Character

Strengthening Police-Community
Relations
January 13, 2021

Background

- In response to state and nationwide concerns around trust between the police and the communities they serve, Governor Cuomo signed Executive Order 203 into law in Summer, 2020
- The law requires a community-based review of police department policies and a plan to update policies based upon evidence-based best practices
- Governments are required to create and adopt a plan for update no later than April 1, 2021 or face funding suspension

Plan Requirements

- Must consider evidence-based strategies to address:
 - use of force policies,
 - procedural justice;
 - any studies addressing systemic racial bias or racial justice in policing;
 - implicit bias awareness training;
 - de-escalation training and practices;
 - law enforcement assisted diversion programs;
 restorative justice practices;

Additional Considerations

- Additional policies include:
 - community-based outreach and conflict resolution;
 - problem-oriented policing;
 - -hot spots policing;
 - -focused deterrence;
 - -crime prevention through environmental design;
 - violence prevention and reduction interventions;
 - -model policies and guidelines promulgated by the New York State Municipal Police Training Council; and standards promulgated by the New York State Law Enforcement Accreditation Program.

Committee

- The Mayor was charged with convening a committee that could include:
 - membership and leadership of the local police force;
 - members of the community, with emphasis in areas with high numbers of police and community interactions;
 - interested non-profit and faith-based community groups;
 - the local office of the district attorney;
 - the local public defender; and
 - local elected officials,

SCOPING

Data Review

- The Order charges us with evaluating potential disproportionate effects of law enforcement activity on affected populations.
- As such, we reviewed:
 - Perry Demographics
 - Arrest Activity
 - Use of Force Activity
 - Other Indicators as Identified by the Committee

Initial Policy Review

- The Committee reviewed and discussed the origin of policy elements, the policy development process, and potential gaps/blind spots
- We also conducted a policy audit to determine what policies identified by the Order are not in place. We evaluated the intent of the order with respect to the policy, whether or not the policy intent could be addressed in another way, and a plan for addressing policy intent

DATA REVIEW

Perry Racial Composition

Race	Percentage
White Only	92.8%
Black/African American Only	1%
Hispanic	1.2%
American Indian/Alaska Native Alone	0%
Asian Alone	0.5%
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander Alone	0%
Other Race Alone	0%
Multiple Races	4.5%

Source—2018 American Community Survey—5 Year Estimate

Arrest Data

Race	2017	2018	2019	2020
White	91%	87%	89%	87%
Black	5%	4%	6%	4%
Asian/Pacific Islander	0%	1%	0.5%	1%
American Indian/Alaskan	1%	1%	0.5%	1%
Hispanic	2%	6%	4%	6%
Unknown	1%	1%	0%	1%
Total Arrests	164	216	218	204

Source—Perry Police Department

Use of Force Data

- For the surveyed years (2017 2019), the Perry Police Department engaged in zero reportable use of force tactics
- For the purposes of reporting, use of force includes the use any weapon or tool to gain compliance from a suspect or detainee

Data Comparison

Race	Perry*	Arrests**	Var.
White	92.8%	175	-7
Black	1%	10	8
Asian/Pacific Islander	0.5%	1	0
American Indian/Alaskan	0%	1	1
Hispanic	1.2%	8	6
Other/Unknown	4.5%%	1	N/A
Base	3,524	197	

^{*}Source—2018 American Community Survey—5 Year Estimate

^{**}Source—Perry PD—3 Year Average (2017-2019)

⁻ Celebrated. Strong. Sustainable.-

Arrest Data--Residents

Race	2020
White	98%
Black	<1%
Asian/Pacific Islander	0%
American Indian/Alaskan	0%
Hispanic	1.5%
Unknown	0%
Total Arrests	129

Source—Perry Police Department

Conclusions

- Due to the geographically transient nature of arrestees, arrest data results can't be wholly reliable for such an analysis
- That said, the numbers evaluated do not point to any alarming trends with respect to arrest activity. Data is not significantly skewed toward any disproportionate impact
- Arrest numbers represent a very low fraction of actual law enforcement interaction
- The absence of any use of force scenarios over a 3+ year period should be a point of pride for the community and the department

POLICY AUDIT

Initial Policy Review

- As discussed, we have conducted a policy audit to determine what policies identified by the Order are not in place. We will discuss the intent of the order with respect to the policy, whether or not the policy intent can be addressed in another way, and a plan for addressing policy intent
- The Department provided copies of the policy on Bias, and guests from Wyoming County mental health were invited for deeper discussions on related issues.

Plan Requirements

- Must consider evidence-based strategies to address:
 - use of force policies,
 - procedural justice;
 - any studies addressing systemic racial bias or racial justice in policing;
 - implicit bias awareness training;
 - de-escalation training and practices;
 - law enforcement assisted diversion programs; restorative justice practices;

Additional Considerations

- Additional policies include:
 - community-based outreach and conflict resolution;
 - problem-oriented policing;
 - -hot spots policing;
 - -focused deterrence;
 - -crime prevention through environmental design;
 - violence prevention and reduction interventions;
 - -model policies and guidelines promulgated by the New York State Municipal Police Training Council; and standards promulgated by the New York State Law Enforcement Accreditation Program.

Use of Force

What it is:

 Includes use of any tool or weapon designed to gain compliance over a suspect or detainee

Executive Order Intent:

 Departments should have a regularly reviewed policy, with recurring training, review of data, and investigation of anomalies

Perry PD Status:

 PPD officers are subject to a policy based upon national and state-validated best practices. Officers are trained and tested twice per year

Procedural Justice

What it is:

 Procedural justice includes the conditions with which the department interacts with the community, and how these interactions shape the perceptions of the department

Executive Order Intent:

 Committee to evaluate whether practices and procedures foster dignity and respect, give individuals a voice, demonstrate neutrality, and convey trustworthy motives

Perry PD Status:

 The department's mission statement is centered on fair treatment for all. This is regularly reinforced with officers, who also receive training at hire on proper conduct

Systemic/Implicit Bias

What it is:

 Policies or processes that deter intentional or implied negative impacts disproportionately on certain populations

Executive Order Intent:

 Evaluate policies, processes, etc. for their ability to detect and remediate bias-driven impacts

Perry PD Status:

 The Village has a policy based upon national and statevalidated best practices. Officers receive training on systemic and implicit bias at hire

De-Escalation

What it is:

 Practices or actions in a potentially violent situation that can resolve the issue without force or injury

Executive Order Intent:

Identify policies, practices, procedures, training, etc.
 that contributes to de-escalation techniques

Perry PD Status:

 The Department's use of force policy has specific direction relative to de-escalation. The policy is validated by national and state-validated best practices, and officers receive training twice per year

LE Assisted Diversion/ Restorative Justice

What it is:

Programs seeking to offer initial alternatives to incarceration

Executive Order Intent:

 Identify and evaluate measures taken that will reduce or delay arrest, incarceration, etc.

Perry PD Status:

 The Department engages regularly with Countymanaged diversion programs (Youth Court, Drug Court, etc.)

Community Outreach

What it is:

 Programs and services designed to create positive relationships between the Police and the community

Executive Order Intent:

 Identify programs and services designed to engage with high risk populations to deter future criminal activity

Perry PD Status:

 The Department has a policy on community engagement, which is based on national and statevalidated best practices. Moreover, the Department engages extensively in community service activity

Hot Spots Policing

What it is:

Leveraging data on criminal activity to prevent future crimes

Executive Order Intent:

Identify programs, services, practices that encourage use of data to develop policing practices

Perry PD Status:

 The Department has not traditionally used data to drive its patrol operations, due to size of department, scale of community, etc. However, management does use intelligence and trend information to direct patrols when needed

Focused Deterrence

What it is:

 Using partnerships in the community to engage directly with known groups of people at high risk for criminal activity or recidivism

Executive Order Intent:

 Identify programs, policies, or practices where focused deterrence can or should be used

Perry PD Status:

 The Department has not engaged in formal deterrence programs due to the scale of the community and the character of criminal activity. Programs like SRO have the intended effect

CPTED

What it is:

 The development of design standards for public or private property that may deter criminal activity

Executive Order Intent:

 Evaluate the community's use of such standards, determine PD's role in supporting such measures

Perry PD Status:

The Department has not engaged in this concept

Violence Prevention Intervention

What it is:

 Programs designed to identify community conditions whereby violence is engendered and engage specifically in these areas

Executive Order Intent:

Identify existing or potential opportunities to engage in intervention tactics

Perry PD Status:

 Due to the very low numbers relative to violence, and the scale of the community, the department has not engaged in this concept

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATIONS

Mental Health Support

The Challenge:

 Law enforcement must leverage additional tools and tactics to support individuals in the community challenged by mental health concerns

Potential Improvement:

 Existing resources, and resource gaps can be identified through collaborative efforts among agencies

Next Steps:

 Following adoption of the plan, the Chief of Police will convene a task force with County agencies supporting mental health issues. An overview of existing resources will be developed, and gaps will communicated to County and State leadership

Enhanced Training

The Challenge:

 Officers can routinely encounter incidents or situations where bias can be a factor. Training on this policy and subject matter only occurs at hire

Potential Improvement:

 The Department should seek out additional training opportunities for affected policies, with an emphasis on mitigating the influence of bias

Next Steps:

 The plan will recommend that the Board continue and enhance investment in training for officers

Continued Policy Review

The Challenge:

 Issues facing communities and law enforcement are constantly evolving, as are community demographics.
 Policies may not evolve as quickly

Potential Improvement:

 The Board should engage with a similar committee once per year to review data and policy implications, and make recommendations to the Chief

Next Steps:

 The plan will recommend that the Mayor convene such a committee one year from now, and each ensuring year for review

Are there other areas of policy or operations that should be explored?

Next Steps

- The Committee will incorporate any feedback from tonight into our final report to the Board
- A public hearing will be scheduled to hear comments on the plan and its recommendations.
 The hearing will be held in February
- Following the Public Hearing, any additional comments will be included in the final draft for Board review
- The Board will review, modify, and adopt a plan in March, after which the plan will be filed with the Governor



Questions?

Perry Police-Community Collective Public Forum January 13, 2021

Perry PD Policy Manual

Use of Force

300.1 PURPOSE AND SCOPE

This policy provides guidelines on the reasonable use of force. While there is no way to specify the exact amount or type of reasonable force to be applied in any situation, every member of this department is expected to use these guidelines to make such decisions in a professional, impartial, and reasonable manner (Executive Law § 840).

In addition to those methods, techniques, and tools set forth below, the guidelines for the reasonable application of force contained in this policy shall apply to all policies addressing the potential use of force, including but not limited to the Control Devices and Conducted Energy Device policies.

300.1.1 DEFINITIONS

Definitions related to this policy include:

Deadly force - Force reasonably anticipated and intended to create a substantial likelihood of causing death or very serious injury. This includes force that, under the circumstances, is readily capable of causing death or serious physical injury (Executive Law § 840).

Feasible - Reasonably capable of being done or carried out under the circumstances to successfully achieve the arrest or lawful objective without increasing risk to the officer or another person.

Force - The application of physical techniques or tactics, chemical agents, or weapons to another person. It is not a use of force when a person allows him/herself to be searched, escorted, handcuffed, or restrained.

Imminent - Ready to take place; impending. Note that imminent does not mean immediate or instantaneous.

Totality of the circumstances - All facts and circumstances known to the officer at the time, taken as a whole, including the conduct of the officer and the subject leading up to the use of force.

300.2 POLICY

The use of force by law enforcement personnel is a matter of critical concern, both to the public and to the law enforcement community. Officers are involved on a daily basis in numerous and varied interactions and, when warranted, may use reasonable force in carrying out their duties.

Officers must have an understanding of, and true appreciation for, their authority and limitations. This is especially true with respect to overcoming resistance while engaged in the performance of law enforcement duties.

The Village of Perry Police Department recognizes and respects the value of all human life and dignity without prejudice to anyone. Vesting officers with the authority to use reasonable force and to protect the public welfare requires monitoring, evaluation, and a careful balancing of all interests.

300.2.1 DUTY TO INTERCEDE AND REPORT

Any officer present and observing another law enforcement officer or a member using force that is clearly beyond that which is objectively reasonable under the circumstances shall, when in a position to do so, intercede to prevent the use of unreasonable force.

Any officer who observes another law enforcement officer or a member use force that is potentially beyond that which is objectively reasonable under the circumstances should report these observations to a supervisor as soon as feasible.

300.2.2 PERSPECTIVE

When observing or reporting force used by a law enforcement officer, each officer should take into account the totality of the circumstances and the possibility that other law enforcement officers may have additional information regarding the threat posed by the subject.

300.3 USE OF FORCE

Officers shall use only that amount of force that reasonably appears necessary given the facts and circumstances perceived by the Officer at the time of the event to accomplish a legitimate law enforcement purpose.

The reasonableness of force will be judged from the perspective of a reasonable Officer on the scene at the time of the incident. Any evaluation of reasonableness must allow for the fact that Officers are often forced to make split-second decisions about the amount of force that reasonably appears necessary in a particular situation, with limited information and in circumstances that are tense, uncertain and rapidly evolving.

Given that no policy can realistically predict every possible situation an Officers might encounter, Officers are entrusted to use well-reasoned discretion in determining the appropriate use of force in each incident.

It is also recognized that circumstances may arise in which Officers reasonably believe that it would be impractical or ineffective to use any of the tools, weapons or methods provided by this Department. Officers may find it more effective or reasonable to improvise their response to rapidly unfolding conditions that they are confronting. In such circumstances, the use of any improvised device or method must nonetheless be reasonable and utilized only to the degree that reasonably appears necessary to accomplish a legitimate law enforcement purpose.

While the ultimate objective of every law enforcement encounter is to avoid or minimize injury, nothing in this policy requires Officers to retreat or be exposed to possible physical injury before applying reasonable force.

300.3.1 USE OF FORCE TO EFFECT AN ARREST

A police officer or a peace officer may use reasonable physical force to effect an arrest, prevent escape of a person from custody, or in defense of self or others from imminent physical force (Penal Law § 35.30).

Force shall not be used by an officer to (Executive Law § 840):

- (a) Extract an item from the anus or vagina of a subject without a warrant, except where exigent circumstances are present.
- (b) Coerce a confession from a subject in custody.
- (c) Obtain blood, saliva, urine, or other bodily fluid or cells from an individual for scientific testing in lieu of a court order where required.

300.3.2 FACTORS USED TO DETERMINE THE REASONABLENESS OF FORCE When determining whether to apply force and evaluating whether an officer has used reasonable force, a number of factors should be taken into consideration, as time and circumstances permit. These factors include but are not limited to:

- (a) Immediacy and severity of the threat to officers or others.
- (b) The conduct of the individual being confronted, as reasonably perceived by the officer at the time.
- (c) Officer/subject factors (e.g., age, size, relative strength, skill level, injuries sustained, level of exhaustion or fatigue, the number of officers available vs. subjects).
- (d) The effects of suspected drug or alcohol use.
- (e) The individual's mental state or capacity.
- (f) The individual's ability to understand and comply with officer commands.
- (g) Proximity of weapons or dangerous improvised devices.
- (h) The degree to which the individual has been effectively restrained and his/her ability to resist despite being restrained.
- (i) The availability of other reasonable and feasible options and their possible effectiveness.
- (j) Seriousness of the suspected offense or reason for contact with the individual.
- (k) Training and experience of the officer.
- (I) Potential for injury to officers, suspects, and others.
- (m) Whether the individual appears to be resisting, attempting to evade arrest by flight, or is attacking the officer.
- (n) The risk and reasonably foreseeable consequences of escape.
- (o) The apparent need for immediate control of the individual or a prompt resolution of the situation.
- (p) Whether the conduct of the individual being confronted no longer reasonably appears to pose an imminent threat to the officer or others.
- (q) Prior contacts with the individual or awareness of any propensity for violence.
- (r) Any other exigent circumstances.

300.3.3 PAIN COMPLIANCE TECHNIQUES

Pain compliance techniques may be effective in controlling a physically or actively resisting individual. Officers may only apply those pain compliance techniques for which they have successfully completed department-approved training. Officers utilizing any pain compliance technique should consider:

- (a) The degree to which the application of the technique may be controlled given the level of resistance.
- (b) Whether the individual can comply with the direction or orders of the officer.
- (c) Whether the individual has been given sufficient opportunity to comply.

The application of any pain compliance technique shall be discontinued once the officer determines that compliance has been achieved.

300.3.4 CAROTID CONTROL HOLD

It is the policy of the Perry Police Department that we do not use the Carotid Control Hold for any reason

300.3.5 ALTERNATIVE TACTICS - DE-ESCALATION

When circumstances reasonably permit, officers should use non-violent strategies and techniques to decrease the intensity of a situation, improve decision-making, improve communication, reduce the need for force, and increase voluntary compliance (e.g., summoning additional resources, formulating a plan, attempting verbal persuasion).

300.3.6 USE OF FORCE TO SEIZE EVIDENCE

In general, officers may use reasonable force to lawfully seize evidence and to prevent the destruction of evidence. However, officers are discouraged from using force solely to prevent a person from swallowing evidence or contraband. In the instance when force is used, officers should not intentionally use any technique that restricts blood flow to the head, restricts respiration, or which creates a reasonable likelihood that blood flow to the head or respiration would be restricted. Officers are encouraged to use techniques and methods taught by the Village of Perry Police Department for this specific purpose.

300.3.7 CAROTID CONTROL HOLD

A carotid control hold is a technique designed to control an individual by temporarily restricting blood flow through the application of pressure to the side of the neck and, unlike a chokehold, does not restrict the airway. The proper application of the carotid control hold may be effective in restraining a violent or combative individual. However, due to the potential for injury, the use of the carotid control hold is limited to those circumstances where deadly force is authorized and is subject to the following:

(a) At all times during the application of the carotid control hold, the response of the individual should be monitored. The carotid control hold should be discontinued when circumstances indicate that the application no longer reasonably appears necessary.

- (b) Any individual who has had the carotid control hold applied, regardless of whether he/ she was rendered unconscious, shall be promptly examined by paramedics or other qualified medical personnel and should be monitored until such examination occurs.
- (c) The officer shall inform any person receiving custody, or any person placed in a position of providing care, that the individual has been subjected to the carotid control hold and whether the individual lost consciousness as a result.
- (d) Any officer attempting or applying the carotid control hold shall promptly notify a supervisor of the use or attempted use of such hold.
- (e) The use or attempted use of the carotid control hold shall be thoroughly documented by the officer in any related reports.

300.3.8 STATE RESTRICTIONS ON THE USE OF OTHER RESTRAINTS

Any application of pressure to the throat, windpipe, neck, or blocking the mouth or nose of a person in a manner that may hinder breathing or reduce intake of air is prohibited unless deadly physical force is authorized (Exec. Law § 840).

This application is subject to the same guidelines and requirements as a carotid control hold.

300.4 DEADLY FORCE APPLICATIONS

When reasonable, the officer shall, prior to the use of deadly force, make efforts to identify him/ herself as a peace officer and to warn that deadly force may be used, unless the officer has objectively reasonable grounds to believe the person is aware of those facts.

Use of deadly force is justified in the following circumstances involving imminent threat or imminent risk:

- (a) An officer may use deadly force to protect him/herself or others from what he/she reasonably believes is an imminent threat of death or serious bodily injury.
- (b) An officer may use deadly force to stop a fleeing subject when the officer has probable cause to believe that the individual has committed, or intends to commit, a felony involving the infliction or threatened infliction of serious bodily injury or death, and the officer reasonably believes that there is an imminent risk of serious bodily injury or death to any other person if the individual is not immediately apprehended. Under such circumstances, a verbal warning should precede the use of deadly force, where feasible.

Imminent does not mean immediate or instantaneous. An imminent danger may exist even if the suspect is not at that very moment pointing a weapon at someone. For example, an imminent danger may exist if an officer reasonably believes that the individual has a weapon or is attempting to access one and intends to use it against the officer or another person. An imminent danger may also exist if the individual is capable of causing serious bodily injury or death without a weapon, and the officer believes the individual intends to do so.

300.4.1 MOVING VEHICLES

Shots fired at or from a moving vehicle involve additional considerations and risks, and are rarely effective.

When feasible, officers should take reasonable steps to move out of the path of an approaching vehicle instead of discharging their firearm at the vehicle or any of its occupants.

An officer should only discharge a firearm at a moving vehicle or its occupants when the officer reasonably believes there are no other reasonable means available to avert the imminent threat of the vehicle, or if deadly force other than the vehicle is directed at the officer or others.

Officers should not shoot at any part of a vehicle in an attempt to disable the vehicle.

300.5 REPORTING THE USE OF FORCE

Any use of force by a member of this department shall be documented promptly, completely, and accurately in an appropriate report, depending on the nature of the incident. The officer should articulate the factors perceived and why he/she believed the use of force was reasonable under the circumstances.

To collect data for purposes of training, resource allocation, analysis, and related purposes, the Department may require the completion of additional report forms, as specified in department policy, procedure, or law (Executive Law § 840).

See the Report Preparation Policy for additional circumstances that may require documentation.

300.5.1 NOTIFICATIONS TO SUPERVISORS

Supervisory notification shall be made as soon as practicable following the application of force in any of the following circumstances:

- (a) The application caused a visible injury.
- (b) The application would lead a reasonable officer to conclude that the individual may have experienced more than momentary discomfort.
- (c) The individual subjected to the force complained of injury or continuing pain.
- (d) The individual indicates intent to pursue litigation.
- (e) Any application of the TASER (TM) or control device.
- (f) Any application of a restraint device other than handcuffs, shackles, or belly chains.
- (g) The individual subjected to the force was rendered unconscious.
- (h) An individual was struck or kicked.
- (i) An individual alleges unreasonable force was used or that any of the above has occurred.

300.6 MEDICAL CONSIDERATIONS

Once it is reasonably safe to do so, medical assistance shall be obtained for any person who exhibits signs of physical distress, has sustained visible injury, expresses a complaint of injury or continuing pain, or was rendered unconscious. Any individual exhibiting signs of physical distress after an encounter should be continuously monitored until he/she can be medically assessed. Individuals should not be placed on their stomachs for an extended period, as this could impair their ability to breathe.

Perry PD Policy Manual

Use of Force

Based upon the officer's initial assessment of the nature and extent of the individual's injuries, medical assistance may consist of examination by an emergency medical services provider or medical personnel at a hospital or jail. If any such individual refuses medical attention, such a refusal shall be fully documented in related reports and, whenever practicable, should be witnessed by another officer and/or medical personnel. If a recording is made of the contact or an interview with the individual, any refusal should be included in the recording, if possible.

The on-scene supervisor or, if the on-scene supervisor is not available, the primary handling officer shall ensure that any person providing medical care or receiving custody of a person following any use of force is informed that the person was subjected to force. This notification shall include a description of the force used and any other circumstances the officer reasonably believes would be potential safety or medical risks to the subject (e.g., prolonged struggle, extreme agitation, impaired respiration).

Individuals who exhibit extreme agitation, violent irrational behavior accompanied by profuse sweating, extraordinary strength beyond their physical characteristics, and imperviousness to pain (sometimes called "excited delirium"), or who require a protracted physical encounter with multiple officers to be brought under control, may be at an increased risk of sudden death. Calls involving these persons should be considered medical emergencies. Officers who reasonably suspect a medical emergency should request medical assistance as soon as practicable and have medical personnel stage away.

See the Medical Aid and Response Policy for additional guidelines.

300.6.1 ADDITIONAL STATE REQUIREMENTS

An officer should take steps to obtain medical attention for a person who reasonably appears to be mentally ill and is behaving in a manner that is likely to result in serious harm to the person or to others.

Officers should document requests for medical or mental health treatment as well as efforts to arrange for such treatment.

300.7 SUPERVISOR RESPONSIBILITIES

A supervisor should respond to a reported application of force resulting in visible injury, if reasonably available. When a supervisor is able to respond to an incident in which there has been a reported application of force, the supervisor is expected to (Executive Law § 840):

- (a) Obtain the basic facts from the involved officers. Absent an allegation of misconduct or excessive force, this will be considered a routine contact in the normal course of duties.
- (b) Ensure that any injured parties are examined and treated.
- (c) When possible, separately obtain a recorded interview with the individual upon whom force was applied. If this interview is conducted without the individual having voluntarily waived his/her *Miranda* rights, the following shall apply:

- 1. The content of the interview should not be summarized or included in any related criminal charges.
- 2. The fact that a recorded interview was conducted should be documented in a property or other report.
- 3. The recording of the interview should be distinctly marked for retention until all potential for civil litigation has expired.
- (d) Once any initial medical assessment has been completed or first aid has been rendered, ensure that photographs have been taken of any areas involving visible injury or complaint of pain, as well as overall photographs of uninjured areas.
 - 1. These photographs should be retained until all potential for civil litigation has expired.
- (e) Identify any witnesses not already included in related reports, including any officers present at the incident.
- (f) Review and approve all related reports.
 - 1. Supervisors should require that officers who engaged in the use of force submit the appropriate report.
- (g) Determine if there is any indication that the individual may pursue civil litigation.
 - 1. If there is an indication of potential civil litigation, the supervisor should complete and route a notification of a potential claim through the appropriate channels.
- (h) Evaluate the circumstances surrounding the incident and initiate an administrative investigation if there is a question of policy noncompliance or if for any reason further investigation may be appropriate.
 - Disciplinary actions will be consistent with any applicable disciplinary guidelines and collective bargaining agreements.

In the event that a supervisor is unable to respond to the scene of an incident involving the reported application of force, the supervisor is still expected to complete as many of the above items as circumstances permit.

300.7.1 OFFICER IN CHARGE RESPONSIBILITY

The Chief of Police shall review each use of force by any personnel within his/her command to ensure compliance with this policy and to address any training issues.

300.7.2 ADDITIONAL OFFICER IN CHARGE RESPONSIBILITIES

The Officer in Charge should ensure that the Village Clerk is provided with enough information to meet the use of force reporting requirements for the DCJS (Executive Law § 837-t; 9 NYCRR 6058.3). See the Village Clerk Policy for additional guidelines.

300.8 TRAINING

Officers will receive periodic training on this policy and demonstrate their knowledge and understanding.

Perry PD Policy Manual

Use of Force

Subject to available resources, officers should receive periodic training on:

- (a) Guidelines regarding vulnerable populations, including but not limited to children, elderly, pregnant persons, and individuals with physical, mental, or intellectual disabilities.
- (b) De-escalation tactics, including alternatives to force.
- (c) Applications of use of force and conflict strategies as required by the state Use of Force Model Policy (Executive Law § 840).

300.9 POLICY AVAILABILITY

This policy shall be readily available to the public upon request and shall be posted on the department website (Executive Law § 840).

300.10 USE OF FORCE ANALYSIS

At least annually, the Chief of Police should prepare an analysis report on use of force incidents. The report should not contain the names of officers, suspects, or case numbers, and should include:

- (a) The identification of any trends in the use of force by members.
- (b) Training needs recommendations.
- (c) Equipment needs recommendations.
- (d) Policy revision recommendations.

Copyright Lexipol, LLC 2021/01/13, All Rights Reserved. Published with permission by Village of Perry Police Department

Perry PD Policy Manual

Community Relations

343.1 PURPOSE AND SCOPE

The purpose of this policy is to provide guidelines for community relationship-building.

Additional guidance on community relations and outreach is provided in other policies, including the:

- Hate Crimes Policy.
- Limited English Proficiency Services Policy.
- Communications with Persons with Disabilities Policy.
- Chaplains Policy.
- Patrol Policy.
- Suspicious Activity Reporting Policy.

343.2 POLICY

It is the policy of the Village of Perry Police Department to promote positive relationships between department members and the community by treating community members with dignity and respect and engaging them in public safety strategy development and relationship-building activities, and by making relevant policy and operations information available to the community in a transparent manner.

343.3 MEMBER RESPONSIBILITIES

Officers should, as time and circumstances reasonably permit:

- (a) Make casual and consensual contacts with community members to promote positive community relationships (see the Contacts and Temporary Detentions Policy).
- (b) Become reasonably familiar with the schools, businesses and community groups in their assigned jurisdictional areas.
- (c) Work with community members and the department community relations coordinator to identify issues and solve problems related to community relations and public safety.
- (d) Conduct periodic foot patrols of their assigned areas to facilitate interaction with community members. Officers carrying out foot patrols should notify an appropriate supervisor and Dispatch of their status (i.e., on foot patrol) and location before beginning and upon completion of the foot patrol. They should also periodically inform Dispatch of their location and status during the foot patrol.

343.4 COMMUNITY RELATIONS COORDINATOR

The Chief of Police or the authorized designee should designate a member of the Department to serve as the community relations coordinator. He/she should report directly to the Chief of Police or authorized designee and is responsible for:

Perry PD Policy Manual

Community Relations

- (a) Obtaining department-approved training related to his/her responsibilities.
- (b) Responding to requests from department members and the community for assistance in identifying issues and solving problems related to community relations and public safety.
- (c) Organizing surveys to measure the condition of the department's relationship with the community.
- (d) Working with community groups, department members and other community resources to:
 - 1. Identify and solve public safety problems within the community.
 - Organize programs and activities that help build positive relationships between department members and the community and provide community members with an improved understanding of department operations.
- (e) Working with the Patrol Chief of Police to develop patrol deployment plans that allow officers the time to participate in community engagement and problem-solving activities.
- (f) Recognizing department and community members for exceptional work or performance in community relations efforts.
- (g) Attending Village council and other community meetings to obtain information on community relations needs.
- (h) Assisting with the department's response to events that may affect community relations, such as an incident where the conduct of a department member is called into public question.
- (i) Informing the Chief of Police and others of developments and needs related to the furtherance of the department's community relations goals, as appropriate.

343.5 SURVEYS

The community relations coordinator should arrange for a survey of community members and department members to be conducted at least annually to assess the condition of the relationship between the Department and the community. Survey questions should be designed to evaluate perceptions of the following:

- (a) Overall performance of the Department
- (b) Overall competence of department members
- (c) Attitude and behavior of department members
- (d) Level of community trust in the Department
- (e) Safety, security or other concerns

A written summary of the compiled results of the survey should be provided to the Chief of Police.

Perry PD Policy Manual

Community Relations

343.6 COMMUNITY AND YOUTH ACTIVITIES AND PROGRAMS

The community relations coordinator should organize or assist with programs and activities that create opportunities for department members and community members, especially youth, to interact in a positive setting. Examples of such programs and events include:

- (a) Department-sponsored athletic programs (e.g., baseball, basketball, soccer, bowling).
- (b) Police-community get-togethers (e.g., cookouts, meals, charity events).
- (c) Youth leadership and life skills mentoring.
- (d) School resource officer/Drug Abuse Resistance Education (D.A.R.E.®) programs.
- (e) Neighborhood Watch and crime prevention programs.

343.7 INFORMATION SHARING

The community relations coordinator should work with the Chief of Police to develop methods and procedures for the convenient sharing of information (e.g., major incident notifications, significant changes in department operations, comments, feedback, positive events) between the Department and community members. Examples of information-sharing methods include:

- (a) Community meetings.
- (b) Social media (see the Department Use of Social Media Policy).
- (c) Department website postings.

Information should be regularly refreshed, to inform and engage community members continuously.

343.8 LAW ENFORCEMENT OPERATIONS EDUCATION

The community relations coordinator should develop methods to educate community members on general law enforcement operations so they may understand the work that officers do to keep the community safe. Examples of educational methods include:

- (a) Development and distribution of informational cards/flyers.
- (b) Department website postings.
- (c) Presentations to driver education classes.
- (d) Instruction in schools.
- (e) Department ride-alongs (see the Ride-Alongs Policy).
- (f) Scenario/Simulation exercises with community member participation.
- (g) Youth internships at the Department.
- (h) Citizen academies.

Instructional information should include direction on how community members should interact with the police during enforcement or investigative contacts and how community members can make

Perry PD Policy Manual

Community Relations

a complaint to the Department regarding alleged misconduct or inappropriate job performance by department members.

343.9 SAFETY AND OTHER CONSIDERATIONS

Department members responsible for community relations activities should consider the safety of the community participants and, as much as reasonably practicable, should not allow them to be present in any location or situation that would jeopardize their safety.

Department members in charge of community relations events should ensure that participating community members have completed waiver forms before participation, if appropriate. A parent or guardian must complete the waiver form if the participating community member has not reached 18 years of age.

Community members are subject to a criminal history check before approval for participation in certain activities, such as citizen academies.

343.10 COMMUNITY ADVISORY COMMITTEE

The Chief of Police should establish a committee of volunteers consisting of community members, community leaders and other community stakeholders (e.g., representatives from schools, churches, businesses, social service organizations). The makeup of the committee should reflect the demographics of the community as much as practicable.

The committee should convene regularly to:

- (a) Provide a public forum for gathering information about public safety concerns in the community.
- (b) Work with the Department to develop strategies to solve public safety problems.
- (c) Generate plans for improving the relationship between the Department and the community.
- (d) Participate in community outreach to solicit input from community members, including youth from the community.

The Chief of Police should arrange for initial and ongoing training for committee members on topics relevant to their responsibilities.

The Chief of Police may include the committee in the evaluation and development of department policies and procedures and may ask them to review certain personnel complaints for the purpose of providing recommendations regarding supervisory, training or other issues as appropriate.

343.10.1 LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

The Chief of Police and the community relations coordinator should work with the Village Attorney as appropriate to ensure the committee complies with any legal requirements such as public notices, records maintenance and any other associated obligations or procedures.

Perry PD Policy Manual

Community Relations

343.11 TRANSPARENCY

The Department should periodically publish statistical data and analysis regarding the department's operations. The reports should not contain the names of officer, suspects or case numbers. The community relations coordinator should work with the community advisory committee to identify information that may increase transparency regarding department operations.

343.12 TRAINING

Subject to available resources, members should receive training related to this policy, including training on topics such as:

- (a) Effective social interaction and communication skills.
- (b) Cultural, racial and ethnic diversity and relations.
- (c) Building community partnerships.
- (d) Community policing and problem-solving principles.
- (e) Enforcement actions and their effects on community relations.

Where practicable and appropriate, community members, especially those with relevant expertise, should be involved in the training to provide input from a community perspective.



E S

Perry PD Policy Manual

Bias-Based Policing

401.1 PURPOSE AND SCOPE

This policy provides guidance to department members that affirms the Village of Perry Police Department's commitment to policing that is fair and objective.

Nothing in this policy prohibits the use of specified characteristics in law enforcement activities designed to strengthen the department's relationship with its diverse communities (e.g., cultural and ethnicity awareness training, youth programs, community group outreach and partnerships).

401.1.1 DEFINITIONS

Definitions related to this policy include:

Bias-based policing - An inappropriate reliance on actual or perceived characteristics such as race, ethnicity, national origin, religion, sex, sexual orientation, gender identity or expression, economic status, age, cultural group, disability, or affiliation with any non-criminal group (protected characteristics) as the basis for providing differing law enforcement service or enforcement.

401.2 POLICY

The Village of Perry Police Department is committed to providing law enforcement services to the community with due regard for the racial, cultural or other differences of those served. It is the policy of this department to provide law enforcement services and to enforce the law equally, fairly, objectively and without discrimination toward any individual or group.

401.3 BIAS-BASED POLICING PROHIBITED

Bias-based policing is strictly prohibited.

However, nothing in this policy is intended to prohibit an officer from considering protected characteristics in combination with credible, timely and distinct information connecting a person or people of a specific characteristic to a specific unlawful incident, or to specific unlawful incidents, specific criminal patterns or specific schemes.

401.4 MEMBER RESPONSIBILITIES

Every member of this department shall perform his/her duties in a fair and objective manner and is responsible for promptly reporting any suspected or known instances of bias-based policing to a supervisor. Members should, when reasonable to do so, intervene to prevent any bias-based actions by another member.

401.4.1 REASON FOR CONTACT

Officers contacting a person shall be prepared to articulate sufficient reason for the contact, independent of the protected characteristics of the individual.

Perry PD Policy Manual

Bias-Based Policing

To the extent that written documentation would otherwise be completed (e.g., arrest report, field interview (FI) card), the involved officer should include those facts giving rise to the contact, as applicable.

Except for required data-collection forms or methods, nothing in this policy shall require any officer to document a contact that would not otherwise require reporting.

401.4.2 REPORTING TRAFFIC STOPS

Each time an officer makes a traffic stop, the officer shall report any information as required in the Traffic and Parking Tickets Policy.

401.5 SUPERVISOR RESPONSIBILITIES

Supervisors should monitor those individuals under their command for compliance with this policy and shall handle any alleged or observed violations in accordance with the Personnel Complaints Policy.

- (a) Supervisors should discuss any issues with the involved officer and his/her supervisor in a timely manner.
 - 1. Supervisors should document these discussions, in the prescribed manner.
- (b) Supervisors should periodically review Mobile Audio/Video (MAV) recordings, portable audio/video recordings, Mobile Data Terminal (MDT) data and any other available resource used to document contact between officers and the public to ensure compliance with this policy.
 - 1. Supervisors should document these periodic reviews.
 - 2. Recordings or data that capture a potential instance of bias-based policing should be appropriately retained for administrative investigation purposes.
- (c) Supervisors shall initiate investigations of any actual or alleged violations of this policy.
- (d) Supervisors should take prompt and reasonable steps to address any retaliatory action taken against any member of this department who discloses information concerning bias-based policing.

401.6 ADMINISTRATION

The Chief of Police should review the efforts of the Department to provide fair and objective policing and submit an annual report, including public concerns and complaints, to the Chief of Police. The annual report should not contain any identifying information about any specific complaint, member of the public or officer. It should be reviewed by the Chief of Police to identify any changes in training or operations that should be made to improve service.

Supervisors should review the annual report and discuss the results with those they are assigned to supervise.

Village of Perry Police Department Perry PD Policy Manual

Bias	-Base	ed P	olic	ing

401.7 TRAINING

Training on fair and objective policing and review of this policy should be conducted as directed by the Chief of Police.